

The Examiner asserts that the reply by Applicant filed on April 23, 2003 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because the response to the election of species requirement is incomplete. Applicants responded to the election requirement on Response dated August 25, 2003. However, Applicant made an error in designating the ultimate species. This response is a follow-up to a telephone conversation of September 3, 2003 formally correcting the ultimate species selection.

The Applicants thank the Examiner for clarification of the election requirements. A complete response to the election of species follows:

The Applicants selects diketopyrrolopyrrole of Formula I. R_1 and R_2 are selected from group (g) as in Paper 9 which is C_{1-25} alkyl. Applicant has already selected Ar_1 and Ar_2 on April 23, 2003 as

The Applicants select the ultimate species below as the starting point for further search and examination of the claims.

The ultimate species reads on claims 1, 2, 7, 13, 19 and 20.

As further clarification to Response filed by Applicants on April 23, 2003, page 2, support for claim 1 amendment to definition of Ar_1 and Ar_2 to include phenanthryl, naphthyl and fluorenyl can be found in the specification which provides that Ar_1 and Ar_2 are aryl radicals (see page 1). The specification further provides on page 18, paragraph 3 that " C_6 - C_{24} aryl is typically phenyl, 1-naphthyl, 2-naphthyl,

09//657,738

-2-

EL/2-22090/A

Applicants submit that the present application is now in condition for examination on the merits.

In the event that minor amendments will further prosecution, Applicants request that the examiner contact the undersigned representative.

Ciba Specialty Chemicals Corporation 540 White Plains Road Tarrytown, New York 10591 (914) 785-2783 SAL\22090/a.doc Respectfully submitted,

Tyler Stevenson Agent for Applicants Reg. No. 46,388

SEP 0 3 2003

OFFICIAL